arXiv:hep-th/0004104v1 13 Apr 2000

Supersymmetric Dirac-Born-Infeld theory in noncommutative space

N. Grandi*, R.L. Pakman* and F.A. Schaposnik†

Departamento de Física, Universidad Nacional de La Plata
C.C. 67, (1900) La Plata, Argentina

Abstract

We present a supersymmetric version of Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) theory in noncommutative space-time, both for Abelian and non-Abelian gauge groups. We show, using the superfield formalism, that the definition of a certain ordering with respect to the $*$ product leads naturally to a DBI action both in the $U(1)$ as well as in the $U(N)$ case. BPS equations are analysed in this context and properties of the resulting theory are discussed.

---

[*] Becario CONICET

[†] Investigador CICBA

1 Introduction

Noncommutative gauge field theories have recently attracted much attention after its connection with the effective theory of strings was established [1]-[2]. An explicit relation between ordinary and noncommutative gauge theories was also obtained and the equivalence of ordinary and noncommutative Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) theory was proven [3]. A supersymmetric extension of the $U(1)$ DBI action when a B-field is turned on was analysed in [4] leading, in certain limit, to the noncommutative version of the supersymmetric gauge theory.

When one defines a DBI action in noncommutative space, an ordering problem arises even in the $U(1)$ case. Indeed, since multiplication of fields should be performed using the $*$ product, one necessarily faces a problem of ordering when constructing a consistent action; by this we mean defining an expansion in powers of $F_{\mu\nu} * F^{\mu\nu}$ and $F_{\mu\nu} * \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$ leading to a square root DBI action.

If the interest in the DBI theory comes from D-brane dynamics, which is relevant whenever derivative terms can be neglected, the ordering problem can be in principle ignored [3]. Nevertheless, the equivalence between noncommutative and ordinary gauge theory through a change of variables imposes certain conditions on derivative corrections to the DBI action, as it has been analysed in refs. [5]-[7]. Other aspects of the noncommutative Dirac-Born-Infeld theory were discussed in refs. [8]-[12].

Another ordering problem concurrent with the one discussed above arises for non-Abelian gauge symmetry. This second source of ordering problems is related to the fact $F_{\mu\nu}$ takes values in the Lie algebra of $U(N)$. Even in ordinary (commutative) space, this last problem has not been yet completely clarified. Indeed, although the symmetric trace proposed originaly in ref.[13] seems to be the natural operation to define a scalar DBI action and provides a good approximation to D-brane dynamics [14], disagreements with the full effective action emerging from string theory have been signaled [15].

One advantage of using the symmetric trace to define the non-Abelian DBI action in ordinary space is that it is the only one leading to an action which is linearized by BPS conditions [16]-[18]. This in turn can be connected with the possibility of supersymmetrizing the DBI action. Indeed, one can see that the natural superfield functionals from which supersymmetric non-Abelian gauge theories are usually built, combine adequately giving the DBI form in such a way that the symmetric trace is singled out as the one to use in defining a scalar superfield DBI action [19]. Once the ($N = 2$) supersymmetric DBI theory is constructed, BPS relations can be derived from the SUSY algebra.

Following an approach similar to that in [19], we present here the supersymmetric version of DBI theory in noncommutative space, both for Abelian and non-Abelian gauge groups. Using the superfield formalism, we shall see that definition of a certain ordering with respect to the $*$ multiplication leads naturally to a DBI action both in the $U(1)$ as well as in the $U(N)$ case. From the analysis of the supersymmetry transformations, BPS equations will be derived and the main properties of the resulting theory will be discussed.

The plan of the paper is the following: the case of a $U(1)$ gauge theory in noncommutative space is discussed in section II. Imposing an adequate ordering for superfields we show that a consistent supersymmetric DBI action can be defined, this leading, in the bosonic sector, to a symmetric ordering of the DBI action. The extension to the non-Abelian $U(N)$ case is presented in Section III. Finally, in Section IV we discuss our results and also analyse BPS equations in noncommutative space.

2 The $U(1)$ case

We shall work in 3+1 dimensional space-time with metric $g^{\mu\nu} = (+,-,-,-)$ ($\mu,\nu = 0,1,2,3$). The $*$ product between a pair of functions $\varphi_1(x)$, $\varphi_2(x)$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}(\varphi_1 * \varphi_2)(x) &\equiv \exp\left(\frac{i}{2}\theta_{ij}\partial_{x_i}\partial_{y_j}\right)\varphi_1(x)\varphi_2(y)|_{x=y} \\ &= \varphi_1(x)\varphi_2(x) + \frac{i}{2}\theta_{ij}\partial_i\varphi_1\partial_j\varphi_1(x) + O(\theta^2)\ , \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Here, θ_{ij} (i,j are spatial indices, $i,j = 1,2,3$) is a constant real-valued antisymmetric tensor. The Moyal bracket is then defined as

$$\{\varphi_1,\varphi_2\}(x) \equiv \varphi_1(x) * \varphi_2(x) - \varphi_2(x) * \varphi_1(x)\ , \tag{2}$$

so that, when applied to space-time coordinates x_μ, x_ν, one has

$$\{x_\mu, x_\nu\} = i\theta_{\mu\nu} \tag{3}$$

which is why one refers to noncommutative spaces. We have included in (3) the time coordinate x_0, but we shall take $\theta_{0i} = 0$ so that definition (1) will suffice.

A "noncommutative gauge theory" is defined just by using the $*$ product each time the gauge fields are multiplied. Then, even in the $U(1)$ Abelian case, the curvature $F_{\mu\nu}$ has a non-linear term (with the same origin as the usual commutator in non-Abelian gauge theories in ordinary space)

$$\begin{aligned} F_{\mu\nu} &= \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - i\left(A_\mu * A_\nu - A_\nu * A_\mu\right) \\ &= \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu - i\{A_\mu, A_\nu\}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

The field strength is gauge-covariant (even in the Abelian case) under gauge transformations which should be represented by $\mathcal{U}$ elements of the form

$$\mathcal{U}(x) = \exp_*(i\alpha) \equiv 1 + i\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\alpha * \alpha + \ldots \tag{5}$$

The covariant derivative implementing infinitesimal gauge transformations takes the form

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu[A]\alpha = \partial_\mu\alpha + i\left(\alpha * A_\mu - A_\mu * \alpha\right) \tag{6}$$

so that an infinitesimal gauge transformation on A_μ reads as usual

$$\delta A_\mu = \mathcal{D}_\mu\alpha \tag{7}$$

Concerning finite gauge transformations, one has

$$A_\mu^U = i\mathcal{U}(x) * \partial_\mu\mathcal{U}^{-1}(x) + \mathcal{U}(x) * A_\mu * \mathcal{U}^{-1}(x) \tag{8}$$

Given a two-component spinor λ one can see that the combination

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu[A]\lambda = \partial_\mu\lambda + i\left(\lambda * A_\mu - A_\mu * \lambda\right) \tag{9}$$

transforms covariantly under gauge transformations provided λ transforms according to

$$\lambda \to \mathcal{U}(x) * \lambda * \mathcal{U}^{-1}(x) \tag{10}$$

Superfields in noncommutative geometry have been discussed in refs. [4],[20]-[21]. We take the $*$ product between superfields $U_1, U_2, \ldots$ in the form

$$(U_1 * U_2)[x,\bar\theta,\theta] \ \equiv\ \exp\left(\frac{i}{2}\theta_{\mu\nu}\partial_{x_\mu}\partial_{y_\nu}\right) U_1[x,\bar\theta,\theta]U_2[y,\bar\theta,\theta]\Big|_{x=y} \tag{11}$$

Note that the $*$ product involves only space-time coordinates without affecting Grassmann coordinates θ.

Consider a real vector superfield V in the Wess-Zumino gauge

$$V[x,\bar{\theta},\theta] = -\theta^{\alpha}\sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}}A_{\mu} + i\theta^{\alpha}\theta_{\alpha}\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\beta}}\bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta}} - i\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\beta}}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}}\theta^{\alpha}\lambda_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\theta^{\alpha}\theta_{\alpha}\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\beta}}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}}D \qquad (12)$$

where D is the auxiliary field.

It is convenient to define chiral variable y^{μ} and $y^{\dagger\mu}$ in the form

$$y^{\mu} = x^{\mu} + i\theta^{\alpha}\sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}}\,, \qquad y^{\dagger\mu} = x^{\mu} - i\theta^{\alpha}\sigma^{\mu}_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}} \qquad (13)$$

so that one can define derivatives D_{α} and $\bar{D}_{\alpha}$ as

$$D_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^{\alpha}} + 2i\left(\sigma^{\mu}\bar{\theta}\right)_{\alpha}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\mu}}, \qquad \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}} \qquad (14)$$

when acting on functions of $(y,\theta,\bar{\theta})$ and

$$D_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^{\alpha}}, \qquad \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}} - 2i\left(\theta\sigma^{\mu}\right)_{\dot{\alpha}}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\dagger\mu}} \qquad (15)$$

on functions of $(y^{\dagger},\theta,\bar{\theta})$. Generalized gauge transformation acting on superfields will be written in the form

$$\exp_{*}(2i\Lambda) = 1 + 2i\Lambda - 2\Lambda * \Lambda + \ldots \qquad (16)$$

where $\Lambda = \Lambda(y,\theta)$ is a chiral left-handed superfield and $\Lambda^{\dagger}(y^{\dagger},\bar{\theta})$ its right-handed Hermitian conjugate,

$$\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\Lambda = D_{\alpha}\Lambda^{\dagger} = 0 \qquad (17)$$

Explicitly,

$$\Lambda(y,\theta) = A + \sqrt{2}\theta^{\alpha}\chi_{\alpha} + \theta^{\alpha}\theta_{\alpha}G \qquad (18)$$

where A and G are complex scalar fields and χ is a Weyl spinor. Under such a transformation, the superfield V transforms as

$$\exp_{*}(2V) \to \exp_{*}(-2i\Lambda^{\dagger}) * \exp_{*}(2V) * \exp_{*}(2i\Lambda) \qquad (19)$$

From V, the chiral curvature superfield W_{α} can be constructed,

$$W^{\alpha}\left(y,\theta\right) = -\frac{1}{8}\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}}\exp_{*}(-2V) * D^{\alpha}\exp_{*}(2V) \qquad (20)$$

In contrast with (19), under a gauge transformation W_α transforms covariantly,

$$W^\alpha \to \exp_*(-2i\Lambda) * W^\alpha * \exp_*(2i\Lambda) \tag{21}$$

Concerning the hermitian conjugate, $\bar{W}_\alpha$, it transforms as

$$\bar{W}_{\dot\alpha} \to \exp_*(-2i\Lambda^\dagger) * \bar{W}_{\dot\alpha} * \exp_*(2i\Lambda^\dagger) \tag{22}$$

Written in components, W_α reads

$$W^\alpha(y,\theta) = -i\lambda^\alpha + \theta^\alpha D - \frac{i}{2}\left(\sigma^\mu\bar\sigma^\nu\theta\right)^\alpha F_{\mu\nu} + \theta\theta\left(\not{D}\bar\lambda\right)^\alpha \tag{23}$$

Now, the $N=1$ supersymmetric Maxwell Lagrangian in noncommutative space-time can be written in terms of the chiral superfield W^α and its hermitian conjugate $\bar{W}_{\dot\alpha}$ as

$$L_0 = \frac{1}{4e^2}\left(\int d^2\theta W^\alpha * W_\alpha + \int d^2\bar\theta \bar{W}_{\dot\alpha} * \bar{W}^{\dot\alpha}\right) \tag{24}$$

since the last components in $W*W$ and $\bar{W}*\bar{W}$ contain the combination $D*D-\frac{1}{2}\left(F_{\mu\nu}*F^{\mu\nu}\pm\ iF_{\mu\nu}*\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}\right)$. In order to construct a DBI-like Lagrangian in noncommutative space, one should include invariants which cannot be expressed in terms of the basic quadratic invariants $F_{\mu\nu}*F^{\mu\nu}$ and $F_{\mu\nu}*\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$. Indeed, if one is to search for a DBI Lagrangian expressible as a determinant, there will appear Lorentz invariants which cannot be written in terms of the two quadratic ones referred above. This is in fact the case of the quartic terms which will necessarily arise when computing a DBI determinant in four dimensions: although in commutative space these terms can be written as a certain functional of $F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu}$ and $F_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$, the $*$ product prevents such an accomodation. Moreover, odd powers which were absent in the commutative space case could be now present.

Let us start at this point the search of a candidate for the supersymmetric extension of the DBI model in noncommutative space. We know that higher powers of W and $\bar{W}$ should be included in such a way as to respect gauge and Lorentz invariance. In the case of commutative space this was achieved by combining $W^2\bar{W}^2$ with adequate powers of D^2W^2 and $\bar{D}^2\bar{W}^2$ [22]-[24]. In the present case, there are two sources of complications. First, in view of the transformation laws (19) and (21)-(22), $\exp_*(2V)$ factors should be adequately included in quartic superfield terms in order to ensure gauge invariance. Second, one has to include as many independent superfield invariants as necessary to reproduce those quartic Lorentz invariants which cannot be written in terms of $F_{\mu\nu} * F^{\mu\nu}$ and $F_{\mu\nu} * \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$.

Consider then the possible gauge-invariant superfields that can give rise to quatric terms. There are two natural candidates,

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1 &= \int d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta}\ W^\alpha * W_\alpha * \exp_*(-2V) * \bar{W}_{\dot{\beta}} * \bar{W}^{\dot{\beta}} * \exp_*(2V) \\
Q_2 &= \int d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta}\ W^\alpha * \exp_*(-2V) * \bar{W}^{\dot{\beta}} * \exp_*(2V) \\
&\quad * W_\alpha * \exp_*(-2V) * \bar{W}_{\dot{\beta}} * \exp_*(2V)
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Now, the bosonic part of Q_1 is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1|_{bos} &= D^{*4} - \frac{1}{2}\left\{F^{\mu\nu} * F_{\mu\nu},\ D^{*2}\right\}_+ - \frac{i}{2}\left\{F^{\mu\nu} * \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu},\ D^{*2}\right\} \\
&+ \frac{1}{4}\left((F^{\mu\nu} * F_{\mu\nu})^{*2} + (F^{\mu\nu} * \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu})^{*2}\right) + \frac{i}{4}\left\{F^{\mu\nu} * \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu},\ F^{\rho\sigma} * F_{\rho\sigma}\right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where $A^{*2} = A * A$. Then, its purely $F_{\mu\nu}$ dependent part takes the form

$$Q_1|_{bos,\,F_{\mu\nu}} = \frac{1}{4}\left((F^{\mu\nu} * F_{\mu\nu})^{*2} + (F^{\mu\nu} * \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu})^{*2}\right) + \frac{i}{4}\left\{F^{\mu\nu} * \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}, F^{\rho\sigma} * F_{\rho\sigma}\right\} \tag{27}$$

Analogously, one gets for Q_2,

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_2|_{bos,\,F_{\mu\nu}} &= \frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu} * F^{\rho\sigma} * F_{\mu\nu} * F_{\rho\sigma} + \frac{1}{4}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} * \tilde{F}^{\rho\sigma} * F_{\mu\nu} * F_{\rho\sigma} \\
&+ \frac{i}{4}\left\{F^{\mu\nu}, F^{\rho\sigma} * \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} * F_{\rho\sigma}\right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

One can now see that a very economic combination of Q_1 and Q_2 generates the quartic terms to be expected in the expansion of a square root DBI action, provided the latter is defined using a symmetric ordering of the F factors. Indeed, using that

$$\int d^4x \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2\} = 0 \tag{29}$$

one has

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4!}\int d^4x\ \ (2Q_1 + Q_2)|_{bos,\,F_{\mu\nu}} = \\
&\int d^4x\, \mathcal{S}^* \left(1 -_* \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{2}F_{\mu\nu} * F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{16}\left(F_{\mu\nu} * \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}\right)^2}\right)\Bigg|^{\text{4th order}}
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

where in the r.h.s. we have defined the symmetric ordering operation $\mathcal{S}^*$ as

$$\mathcal{S}^*\left(\varphi_1 * \varphi_2 * \ldots * \varphi_N\right) = \frac{1}{N!}\sum_{\{\pi\}} \varphi_{\pi(1)} * \varphi_{\pi(2)} * \ldots * \varphi_{\pi(N)} \tag{31}$$

In eq.(30) ${}_*\!\sqrt{}$ means that the square root power expansion is defined using the $*$ product to build each power.

This symmetric ordering defined by eq.(31) ensures that products of real fields like the r.h.s. in (30) are real numbers, which is not guaranteed for a general non ordered $*$ product. Also, $\mathcal{S}^*$ solves the ambiguities arising in the definition of the DBI Lagrangian as a determinant and one can prove that

$$\begin{aligned}\int d^4x\, \mathcal{S}^*\left(1 -_* \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{2}(F_{\mu\nu} * F^{\mu\nu}) - \frac{1}{16}(F_{\mu\nu} * \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu})^2}\right)& \\ = \int d^4x\, \mathcal{S}^*\left(1 -_* \sqrt{\det(g_{\mu\nu} + F_{\mu\nu})}\right)&\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

with the r.h.s. univoquely defined through the $\mathcal{S}^*$ prescription. Then, if one arrives, through the supersymmetric construction to a bosonic action which takes the form of the l.h.s. in (32) one is sure that this action can be written also in the usual BI action determinantal form.

The analysis above was made for the purely bosonic sector. It is then natural to extend it by considering the complete superfield combination $\int d^4x(2Q_1 + Q_2)$ that again accomodates in the form of a symmetric ordering but which now has to be defined so as to take into account the presence of Grassmann anticommuting objects

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{3}\int d^4x(2\ Q_1 + Q_2) = & \\ & \int d^4x \mathcal{S}^*\Big(W^\alpha * W_\alpha * \Big[\exp_*(-2V) * \bar{W}_{\dot\beta} * \exp_*(2V)\Big] * \\ & \Big[\exp_*(-2V) * \bar{W}^{\dot\beta} * \exp_*(2V)\Big]\Big)\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

where now the symmetric ordering is defined as

$$\mathcal{S}^*\left(U^1 * U^2 * \ldots * U^n\right) = \frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\{\pi\}} \mathrm{sgn}\,\pi_O\, U^{\pi(1)} * U^{\pi(2)} * \ldots * U^{\pi(n)} \tag{34}$$

Here U represents either W or $[\exp_*(-2V)\bar{W}\exp_*(2V)]$ and $\mathrm{sgn}\,\pi_O$ only takes care of the sign of the permutation of Grassmann odd objects in each term.

Note that in eq.(33) adyacent $\exp_*(2V)$ and $\exp_*(-2V)$ inside the $\mathcal{S}^*$ symbol cannot be cancelled *before* the symmetric ordering is performed since it includes terms in which those factors are not adyacent. That is, the expressions inside brackets in (33) should be taken as individual elements for permutations.

Now, in order to get higher powers of $F_{\mu\nu} * F^{\mu\nu}$ and $F_{\mu\nu} * \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$ necessary to construct the DBI action, it has been shown [22] that one has to include powers of some superfields which we shall call X and Y that in the present case should be defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
X = \frac{1}{16}\Big(&\Big\{\bar{D}_{\dot\beta}\bar{D}^{\dot\beta}\bar{R}_{\dot\alpha}, \bar{R}^{\dot\alpha}\Big\}_+ - 2\bar{D}_{\dot\beta}\bar{R}_{\dot\alpha} * \bar{D}^{\dot\beta}\bar{R}^{\dot\alpha} \\
&+ \Big\{\exp_*(-2V) * D^\beta D_\beta R^\alpha * \exp_*(2V), W_\alpha\Big\}_+ \\
&-2\Big[\exp_*(-2V) * D^\beta R^\alpha * \exp(2V)\Big] * \Big[\exp(-2V) * D_\beta R_\alpha * \exp(2V)\Big]\Big) \\
Y = -\frac{i}{32}\Big(&\Big\{\bar{D}_{\dot\beta}\bar{D}^{\dot\beta}\bar{R}_{\dot\alpha}, \bar{R}^{\dot\alpha}\Big\}_+ - 2\bar{D}_{\dot\beta}\bar{R}_{\dot\alpha} * \bar{D}^{\dot\beta}\bar{R}^{\dot\alpha} \\
&- \Big\{\exp_*(-2V) * D^\beta D_\beta R^\alpha * \exp_*(2V), W_\alpha\Big\}_+ \\
&+2\Big[\exp_*(-2V) * D^\beta R^\alpha * \exp(2V)\Big] * \Big[\exp(-2V) * D_\beta R_\alpha * \exp(2V)\Big]\Big)
\end{aligned}
\tag{35}
$$

where we have defined

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{R}_{\dot\alpha} &= \exp_*(-2V) * \bar{W}_{\dot\alpha} * \exp_*(2V) \\
R^\alpha &= \exp_*(2V) * W^\alpha * \exp_*(-2V)
\end{aligned}
\tag{36}
$$

In particular, one has in the bosonic sector

$$
X|_{\theta=\bar\theta=0} = -\frac{1}{2}D * D + \frac{1}{4}F^{\mu\nu} * F_{\mu\nu} \tag{37}
$$

$$
Y|_{\theta=\bar\theta=0} = \frac{1}{8}F^{\mu\nu} * \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tag{38}
$$

Hence, lowest components of X and Y include the invariants $F_{\mu\nu} * F^{\mu\nu}$ and $F_{\mu\nu} * \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$.

We are thus lead to consider the following supersymmetric action as a candidate to reproduce the DBI dynamics in its bosonic sector,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{S}_S &= \int d^4x L_0 + \left(\sum_{n,m} C_{nm} \int d^4x d^4\theta \mathcal{S}^* \left(W^\alpha * W_\alpha * \bar{R}_{\dot\beta} * \bar{R}^{\dot\beta} * X^{*n} * Y^{*m}\right)\right. \\
&\quad + \left. \text{h.c.}\right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{39}
$$

Operation $\mathcal{S}^*$ was defined in eq.(34) for superfields U. This amounts, for X and Y factors, to order the (Grassmann even) brackets entering in their construction without change of sign.

Expression (39) gives a general action, the supersymmetric extension of a bosonic gauge invariant action depending on the field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$ through the quantities $F_{\mu\nu} * F^{\mu\nu}$ and $F_{\mu\nu} * \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$, in certain combinations constrained by supersymmetry. Coefficients C_{mn} remain arbitrary and can be chosen so as to obtain the DBI action in its usual form. Indeed, if one puts

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{0\,0} &= \frac{1}{8} \\
C_{n-2m\,2m} &= (-1)^m \sum_{j=0}^{m} \binom{n+2-j}{j} q_{n+1-j} \\
C_{n\,2m+1} &= 0\ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{40}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_0 &= -\frac{1}{2} \\
q_n &= \frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{4n} \frac{(2n-1)!}{(n+1)!(n-1)!} \quad \text{for} \;\; n \geq 1
\end{aligned}
\tag{41}
$$

then, the purely bosonic part of action (39) becomes, after using relation (32),

$$
S_S|_{bos} = \int d^4x \mathcal{S}^* \left(1 -_* \sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu} + F_{\mu\nu})}\right)
\tag{42}
$$

As in the ordinary space case, other choices of coefficients C_{mn} are possible leading to consistent supersymmetric gauge theories with non-polynomial gauge field dynamics. Let us note that odd powers of the field strength $F_{\mu\nu}$ were excluded in our treatment since it is not possible to construct a superfield functional of W, $\bar{W}$, DW and $\bar{D}\bar{W}$ containing F^3 terms in its highest θ component.

3 The $U(N)$ case

The construction of the non-Abelian supersymmetric DBI action closely follows the steps described in the previous section for the $U(1)$ case. One starts from a real vector superfield V as defined in (45) but now with the gauge field A_μ, Majorana field λ and auxiliary field D taking values in the Lie algebra of $U(N)$,

$$A_\mu = A_\mu^a t^a \qquad \lambda = \lambda^a t^a \qquad D = D^a t^a \tag{43}$$

with t^a the (hermitian) $U(N)$ generators,

$$[t^a, t^b] = i f^{abc} t^c \tag{44}$$

$$\mathrm{tr}\, t^a t^b = \delta^{ab} \tag{45}$$

Generalized gauge transformations are implemented as in eq.(16) with the chiral superfield $\Lambda = \Lambda^a t^a$. Analogously, superfields W^α and $\bar{W}_{\dot\alpha}$ now take values in the Lie algebra of $U(N)$.

In ordinary commutative space, the scalar superfield Lagrangian for Yang-Mills theory is easily constructed by taking the trace tr of $W^2 + \bar{W}^2$. Now, when one needs higher powers of combinations of W and $\bar{W}$ to generate DBI-like Lagrangians, an ordering problem associated with generators t^a arises. Supersymmetry signals out a natural trace operation which solves the ordering problem [19]. This trace is precisely the symmetric trace operation Str introduced in [13] in order to connect a DBI theory with the effective string action for non-Abelian vector fields. In the present noncommutative non-Abelian case, one faces a second ordering problem related to the $*$ product. As we shall see both problems are entangled and have to be solved both at once.

Consider the following operation on n Lie algebra valued bosonic objects $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n$ (for example $F_1 = F^a_{\mu\nu} t^a$),

$$\mathrm{tr}\, \mathcal{S}^*(F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n) = \mathrm{tr} \left(\frac{1}{n!} \sum_\pi F_{\pi_1} * F_{\pi_2} * \ldots * F_{\pi_n} \right) \tag{46}$$

Note that the operation $\mathrm{tr}\,\mathcal{S}^*$ coincides with the symmetric trace Str in the $\theta_{\mu\nu} \to 0$ commutative limit. It is easy to see that (46) changes covariantly under gauge transformations $\mathcal{U}$ when $F_i \to \mathcal{U}^{-1} * F_i * \mathcal{U}$,

$$\mathrm{tr}\, \mathcal{S}^*(\ [\mathcal{U}^{-1} * F_1 * \mathcal{U}] * [\mathcal{U}^{-1} * F_2 * \mathcal{U}] * \ldots * [\mathcal{U}^{-1} * F_n * \mathcal{U}])$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \mathrm{tr}\left(\frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\pi}\mathcal{U}^{-1} * F_{\pi_1} * \mathcal{U} * \mathcal{U}^{-1} * F_{\pi_2} * \mathcal{U} * \ldots \mathcal{U}^{-1} * F_{\pi_n} * \mathcal{U}\right) \\
&= \mathrm{tr}\left(\frac{1}{n!}\sum_{\pi}\mathcal{U}^{-1} * F_{\pi_1} * F_{\pi_2} * \ldots F_{\pi_n} * \mathcal{U}\right) \\
&= \mathrm{tr}\,\mathcal{U}^{-1} * \mathrm{tr}\,\mathcal{S}^{*}(F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n) * \mathcal{U} \qquad (47)
\end{aligned}
$$

Formula (46) can then be used to construct powers of $F_{\mu\nu}$ leading to a gauge covariant Lagrangian.

Let us note that instead of taking the normal trace tr on Lie algebra generators after the $\mathcal{S}^*$ operation, one could try to use the symmetric trace Str, symmetrizing further the product of generators $t^{a\prime}s$ without affecting the already symmetrized coefficients F_i^a. Then, one can see that a different expression (that also reduces to the symmetric trace prescription in the commutative limit) is obtained. However, this recipe for an ordered product should be ruled out since it lacks the gauge covariance property.

The generalization of formula (46) to a product of Lie algebra valued superfields is the same as in the $U(1)$ case (eq.(34)). One defines,

$$
\mathrm{tr}\,\mathcal{S}^{*}\left(U_1 * U_2 * \ldots * U_n\right) = \frac{1}{n!}\mathrm{tr}\sum_{\{\pi\}}\mathrm{sgn}\,\pi_O\, U_{\pi(1)} * U_{\pi(2)} * \ldots * U_{\pi(n)} \qquad (48)
$$

Since the U's are Lie algebra valued superfields, the trace has been included in order to define a scalar object.

We are now ready to propose the non-Abelian generalization of the DBI supersymmetric action in the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{S}_S =& \,\mathrm{tr}\!\int d^4x d^2\theta\, W^{\alpha} * W_{\alpha} \\
&+ \sum_{n,m} C_{nm}\mathrm{tr}\!\int d^4x d^4\theta\, \mathcal{S}^{*}\left(W^{\alpha} * W_{\alpha} * \bar{R}_{\dot\beta} * \bar{R}^{\dot\beta} * X^{*n} * Y^{*m}\right) + \mathrm{h.c.} (49)
\end{aligned}
$$

where X and Y are constructed from eqs.(35) with W, $\bar{W}$ and V now in the Lie algebra of $U(N)$. The same choice of coefficients C_{mn} as in eqs.(40)-(41) leads now to the non-Abelian noncommutative DBI action in the bosonic sector

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_S|_{bos} &= \int d^4x\,\mathrm{tr}\,\mathcal{S}^{*}\left(1 -_{*} \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{2}(F_{\mu\nu} * F^{\mu\nu}) - \frac{1}{16}(F_{\mu\nu} * \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu})^2}\right) \\
&= \int d^4x\,\mathrm{tr}\,\mathcal{S}^{*}\left(1 -_{*} \sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu} + F_{\mu\nu})}\right) \qquad (50)
\end{aligned}
$$

4 Discussion

In this work we have constructed the supersymmetric noncommutative Born-Infeld action both for Abelian and non-Abelian gauge theories. In our construction, we have seen that the natural superfield functionals from which SUSY extensions of gauge theories can be constructed, combine in the adequate, square root DBI form in such a way that a symmetric order $\mathcal{S}^*$ with respect to the $*$ product is singled out.

In the Abelian case, the supersymmetric action defined by eq.(39) leads to a bosonic sector with dynamics governed by action (42) which then provides a consistent definition of noncommutative $U(1)$ DBI action to all orders in $\theta_{\mu\nu}$. The same construction can be performed for the $U(N)$ gauge theory. Remarkably, in this case the problem of defining a scalar action from Lie algebra valued objects reduces to simply taking the ordinary trace of $\mathcal{S}^*$-symmetrized products of fields. As we have shown, this prescription leads to DBI action (eqs.(49)-(50)) which is gauge invariant, in contrast with what happens if one were to use the symmetric trace after the $\mathcal{S}^*$ operation. Nevertheless, in the $\theta_{\mu\nu} \to 0$ limit, because of the symmetrizing effect of $\mathcal{S}^*$, our result coincides with the one obtained for the commutative non-Abelian DBI action defined using the symmetric trace.

An important aspect in the study of supersymmetric DBI actions concerns the BPS structure in the bosonic sector. In this respect, and for commutative DBI theories, conditions under which the resulting BPS relations coincide with those arising in Yang-Mills gauge theories have been studied and their relation with $N = 2$ supersymmetry clarified [16]-[18], [34]. In the noncommutative case, solutions to different self-dual equations have been already found [25]-[33] but the analysis of the connection between those equations and supersymmetry is lacking. It is then natural to investigate this issue in the supersymmetric framework presented here extending our treatment from $N = 1$ to the $N = 2$ supersymmetry since it is in this last case that the BPS analysis can be performed.

In order to achieve the $N = 2$ extension, one has to add to the vector multiplet (A_μ, λ, D) already introduced, a chiral scalar multiplet $\Phi = (\phi, \psi, F)$ where $\phi = (\phi_1 + i\phi_2)$ is a complex scalar field, ψ a second Majorana fermion and F an auxiliary complex field. A complete $N = 2$ vector multiplet can be accomodated in terms of all these fields in the form $(A_\mu, \chi, \phi, D, F)$ where now χ is a Dirac fermion constructed from λ and ψ, $\chi = (\lambda, \bar{\psi})$.

The $N = 2$ DBI Lagrangian is constructed following the same steps described for the $N = 1$ case. One uses as building block the $N = 2$ chiral superfield Ψ which plays a role analogous to the $N = 1$ curvature superfield W,

$$\Psi = \Phi(\tilde{y}, \theta_1) + i\sqrt{2}\theta_2{}^{\alpha} W_\alpha(\tilde{y}, \theta_1) + \theta_2^\alpha \theta_{2\alpha} G(\tilde{y}, \theta_1) \tag{51}$$

Here $\tilde{y}^\mu = y^\mu + i\theta_2\sigma^\mu\bar{\theta}_2$ and G is a chiral scalar which can be expressed in terms of Φ and V (see for example [35]).

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi^2\Big|_{\psi=\lambda=\phi=0} &= -4\theta_1^2\theta_2^2 \left(F_{\mu\nu} * F^{\mu\nu} + iF_{\mu\nu} * \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}D * D - \frac{1}{4}\{F^\dagger, F\}_+\right) \\ &= \theta_1^2\theta_2^2\, Z[F_{\mu\nu}, D, F] \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

and hence the bosonic part of the $N = 2$ Lagrangian will depend on $F_{\mu\nu}$ D and F through the combination defined by Z. Then, the equations of motion for D and F are solved by $D = F = 0$.

In order to look for instanton configurations one has to pass to Euclidean space and equate to zero the $N = 2$ gaugino supersymmetry transformation law. Since we have assumed $\theta_{0i} = 0$, the Wick rotation can be performed without any complication related to the definition of the $*$ product. Now, in the gaugino transformation law all fermionic fields and the scalar field ϕ should be put to zero while the auxiliary fields D and F should be eliminated using their equations of motion which, as we have seen, lead to $D = F = 0$.

The off-shell gaugino supersymmetry transformation takes the form

$$\delta\chi = -i\left(\Gamma^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu} - D\right)\xi + i\sqrt{2}\ \partial\!\!\!/\left(\gamma_5\phi_1 + i\phi_2\right)\chi - \sqrt{2}F^\dagger\gamma_5\bar{\chi}^t \tag{53}$$

where we have written $(\xi_1, -\bar{\xi}_2) = \xi$ for the two parameters associated with $N = 2$ supersymmetry and $\Gamma^{\mu\nu} = (i/4)[\gamma^\mu, \gamma^\nu]$. Putting $\phi = D = F = 0$, the gaugino transformation law reduces to

$$\delta\chi = -i\Gamma^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}\xi \tag{54}$$

so that, when imposing the BPS condition in the form $\delta\chi = 0$ one obtains the self-duality equation which, now in Euclidean space, takes the form

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \pm\tilde{F}_{\mu\nu} \tag{55}$$

Each one of the solutions breakes half of the supersymmetries. As expected, the instanton equation formally coincides with that arising in ordinary space-time. However, it should be remembered that $F_{\mu\nu}$ in eq.(55) as defined in (4) includes a Moyal bracket. This means that even in the $U(1)$ case there could be instanton solutions which in fact have been found in [25]. In order to get a deeper understanding of BPS equations and bound one should construct the $N = 2$ supersymmetry algebra. We hope to discuss this problem in a future work.

Acknowledgements: We would like to thank Guillermo Silva for helpful discussions and comments. This work is supported in part by CICBA, CONICET (PIP 4330/96), and ANPCYT (PICT 97/2285) Argentina.

References

[1] A. Connes, M.R. Douglas and A. Schwartz, JHEP **02** (1998) 003.

[2] M.R. Douglas and C. Hull, JHEP **02** (1998) 008.

[3] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, JHEP **09** (1998) 032.

[4] S. Ferrara and M. Lledò, hep-th/0002084.

[5] Y. Okawa, Nucl.Phys. **B566** (2000) 348.

[6] S. Terashima, hep-th/0001111.

[7] Y. Okawa and S. Terashima, hep-th/0002194.

[8] R. Garousi, hep-th/9909214.

[9] L. Cornalba, hep-th/9912293.

[10] T. Lee, hep-th/9912038.

[11] J. Pawelczyk, hep-th/0003057.

[12] S. Ryang, hep-th/0003204.

[13] A.A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. **B501** (1997) 41.

[14] A.A. Tseytlin, hep-th/9908105, to be published in *Yuri Golfand memorial volume*, ed. M. Shifman, World. Sci, 2000.

[15] A. Hashimoto and W. Taylor IV, Nucl. Phys. **503** (1997) 193.

[16] J.P. Gauntlett, J. Gomis and P.K. Townsend, JHEP **01** (1998) 003.

[17] D. Brecher and M.J. Perry, Nucl. Phys. **B527** (1998) 121.

[18] D. Brecher, Phys.Lett. **B442** (1998) 117.

[19] S. Gonorazky, F.A. Schaposnik and G. Silva, Phys. Lett. **B449** (1999) 187.

[20] Ch.-S. Chu and F. Zamora, JHEP **0002** (2000) 200.

[21] S. Terashima, hep-th/0002119.

[22] S. Deser and R. Puzalowski, *J. Phys.* **A13** (1980) 2501.

[23] S. Ceccotti and S. Ferrara, *Phys. Lett.* **B187** (1987) 335.

[24] S. Gonorazky, C. Núñez, F.A. Schaposnik and G. Silva, Nucl. Phys. **B531** (1998) 538.

[25] N. Nekrasov and A. Schwarz, Commun. Math. Phys. **198** (1998) 689.

[26] K. Hashimoto, H. Hata and S. Moriyama, JHEP **9912** (1999) 021 .

[27] S. Terashima, Phys. Lett. **B477** (2000) 292.

[28] H.W. Braden and N.A. Nekrasov, hep-th/9912019.

[29] K. Furuuchi, hep-th/9912047.

[30] D. Mateos, hep-th/0002020.

[31] A. Kapustin, A. Kuznetsov and D. Orlov, hep-th/0002193.

[32] P.-M. Ho, hep-th/0003012.

[33] K.-Y. Kim, B.-H. Lee and H.S. Yang, hep-th/0003093.

[34] H.R. Christiansen, C. Núñez and F.A. Schaposnik, Phys. Lett. **B441** (1998) 185.

[35] J.D. Lykken, *Introduction to Supersymmetry* TASI Lectures 96, hep-th/9612114.